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Scientific Summary
For over 25 years, the Ankylos implant system stands for stable, long-term esthetics. The results from numerous publications and long-term clinical experience demonstrate that Ankylos maintains hard and soft tissue stability, ensuring natural and lasting esthetics.

The core to this success is the unique Ankylos TissueCare Concept, which is the sum of all the key features of the Ankylos system design.
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Clinical documentation on Ankylos® Implant System

The Ankylos implant system has several key features, including the friction-locked conical implant-abutment connection, the system-immanent horizontal offset, and the microstructured surface on the implant shoulder. The system has been used in various clinical indications for over 25 years.

Published data shows that placement of Ankylos implants is a safe and predictable treatment in both jaws for indications such as: single-tooth restorations, fixed partial/full prostheses, and overdentures. Moreover, there are published clinical results for implants immediately placed in extraction sockets, in grafted sites, and when using a one-stage surgical procedure followed by immediate loading.

Clinical studies with a follow-up between 1 and 8 years report that the Ankylos implants are safe to use rendering high implant survival rates, ranging from 94 to 100%. Support for clinical safety is further confirmed in a retrospective study including more than 12,500 Ankylos implants with up to 20 years of documented clinical follow-up.

Good primary stability has been documented for Ankylos implants in several studies. Mean insertion torque values ranging from 28.8 to 47.5 Ncm have been reported, even for the Ø3.5 mm implant. Additionally, high patient satisfaction is reported.

Published, clinical studies report on the mean marginal bone level change around Ankylos implants after 1 year (range 0.01 to -1.32 mm), 2 years (range +0.21 to -0.78 mm) and 3 years in function (-0.6 mm).
Tissue maintenance

The Ankylos implant system has several unique key features, including the friction-locked conical implant-abutment connection, the system-immanent horizontal offset, and the microstructured surface on the implant shoulder. Together, these features provide the basis for predictable tissue maintenance. In this section, we present the excellent results on hard and soft tissue maintenance around the Ankylos implant system.

Summarized articles:

Immediate loading of single-tooth implants in the posterior region .................................... 6

Crestal bone changes around implants with reduced abutment diameter placed non-submerged and at subcrestal positions: a 1-year radiographic evaluation ............................................................................................................. 7

Cone beam computed tomographic evaluation of implants with platform-switched Morse taper connection with the implant-abutment interface at different levels in relation to the alveolar crest ................................................................. 8

Immediate versus delayed functional loading of implants in the posterior mandible: a 2-year prospective clinical study of 12 consecutive cases ............... 9
Immediate loading of single-tooth implants in the posterior region

Authors: Abboud M, Koeck B, Stark H, et al.

Aim
To evaluate the clinical outcome of single implants placed in the posterior maxilla and mandible applying an immediate loading protocol.

Material and Methods
In this prospective clinical study patients in need of single implant treatment in the posterior region were included.

20 patients were rehabilitated with Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants), where a standard solid abutment and a temporary crown were placed at the same visit.

During the following 5 weeks, several re-call visits were scheduled to follow-up on soft tissue healing, make the final impression and for monitoring implant stability. The provisional crown was modified in order to guide the soft tissue healing to achieve better esthetics. Following 6 weeks of healing the provisional crown was removed and after impression taking a permanent crown was cemented.

Periapical radiographs were taken at day of surgery (baseline) and after 3, 6 and 12 months to record changes in the peri-implant hard tissues. The bone levels were evaluated by measuring the distance from the implant reference point (mesial and distal aspects of the implant shoulder) to the most coronal implant-bone contact point. Other clinical parameters registered were papilla height, occurrence of inflammation and Periotest values.

Results
In total 20 patients received 20 immediately loaded implants, of which 11 implants were installed in molar sites and 9 in premolar positions.

One implant failed during the 12 month study period and another implant was associated with peri-implantitis due to temporary cement excess. The peri-implantitis was successfully treated.

From implant placement to the 1-year follow-up the marginal bone level was well maintained with a mean marginal bone level change of 0.01 mm (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>IP – 3 months</th>
<th>IP – 6 months</th>
<th>IP – 1 year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Mean marginal bone level changes from implant placement (IP) to 3, 6 and 12 months of follow-up.

After 180 and 360 days the mean Periotest value measured -4. Values < 0 indicate that osseointegration is achieved, while values > 9 indicates lack of osseointegration.

All patients showed good soft tissue health confirmed by low frequencies of plaque occurrence and no inflammatory signs. A papilla height gain was seen in 16 patients after 1 year.

Discussion and Conclusion
From this 1-year prospective study it was concluded that immediately loaded single implants placed in the posterior region is a predictable treatment with good hard and soft tissue responses. However, a careful patient selection, treatment planning and longer follow up with more patients is needed.

Reference:
Crestal bone changes around implants with reduced abutment diameter placed non-submerged and at subcrestal positions: a 1-year radiographic evaluation

Authors: Donovan R, Fetner A, Koutouzis T. et al.
Published in: J Periodontol 2010;81(3):428-34.

Aim
By means of a retrospective approach, the aim of this study was to evaluate the 1-year marginal bone level changes around non-submerged and subcrestally placed implants with reduced abutment diameters.

Material and Methods
Fifty patients with 69 Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) from a private practice were included in this review. Surgical procedures were performed according to the manufacturers’ instructions with special attention to place the platform of the implant 1 to 2 mm subcrestal to the buccal bone. Immediate temporization followed and the osseous void coronal to the platform was grafted (PegGen P15, Dentsply Sirona Implants) before the flaps were sutured. Permanent single crown restorations were delivered 3 to 4 months after implant surgery.

Intra-oral periapical radiographs were taken at implant surgery, at crown delivery and at last follow-up visit, where the mean follow-up was 14 months (range 9-20 months). The depth of the subcrestal position was measured at mesial and distal sites, both at implant placement and at subsequent follow-up visits. The level of mesial and distal bone-to-implant contact was also recorded and bone level changes were calculated.

Descriptive statistics were calculated and comparison between mesial and distal sites, and changes over time were calculated using t test and two-sample t test, respectively.

Results
All 69 implants remained osseointegrated but due to poor radiographic quality only 131 of totally 138 sites were included in the statistical analysis. In mean, mesial and distal sites were placed 1.37 mm and 1.28 mm subcrestally at surgery. There was no statistical significant difference in loss of marginal bone between mesial and distal sites or between sites in the maxilla versus the mandible. Overall mean bone remodeling was 0.11 mm. Frequency analysis of implants losing more than 0.5 mm was 14% in the maxilla and 6% in the mandible.

Furthermore, there was no correlation between the level of subcrestal placement and loss of marginal bone. Subcrestal implant position had a significant correlation with hard tissue deposition on the implant shoulder at the last follow-up visit.

Table 1. Mean marginal bone remodeling for different subgroups in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Bone remodeling (mm ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesial</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-0.09 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-0.12 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-0.12 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-0.05 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion and Conclusion
Minimal loss of marginal bone was reported around the Ankylos implants when placed 1-2 mm under the buccal marginal bone crest and loaded immediately. The result is in part due to the reduced abutment diameter, a concept called “platform switch”, which enables a greater area for biological width formation and the establishing of bone at or over the implant platform.
Cone beam computed tomographic evaluation of implants with platform-switched Morse taper connection with the implant-abutment interface at different levels in relation to the alveolar crest

Authors: Koutouzis T, Neiva R, Nair M. et al.

Aim
To evaluate, by means of CBCT, the buccal and lingual bone level changes around Ankylos CX implants when placed at different depths in relation to the buccal bone crest.

Material and Methods
A prospective study design was undertaken. Patients in need of single implant rehabilitation were consecutively included in the study. Implants were placed at 3 different levels in relation to the buccal bone crest; equicrestal (Group 0), 1 mm subcrestal (Group 1) or 2 mm subcrestal (Group 2). After osteotomy preparation, the buccal bone thickness was measured. Ten patients were randomized to each group and each patient received 1 Ankylos CX implant (Dentsply Sirona Implants). After implant placement Ankylos Standard/C abutments were then immediately connected and a temporary crown (Dentsply Sirona Implants) was placed. Four months later a permanent crown was screwed into place.

CBCT evaluation took place at 12 months after implant placement. A centric section of each implant (a median section) was used for conducting the analyses according to the main variables presented in Table 1.

Descriptive statistics were calculated. Statistical tests were performed to evaluate differences between the groups with regards to the different variables measured and the level of significance was set to p<0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBCT variables</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crestal implant position</td>
<td>The distance from the bone crest (buccal/lingual) to the implant platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal bone height</td>
<td>The distance between the implant platform and the (buccal/lingual) first visible bone-to-implant contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone crest location in relation to the implant platform</td>
<td>The bone coronal to, at, or apical to the implant platform (i.e. above the implant-abutment junction, Yes/No)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Definitions for the CBCT variables used in the study.

Results
At 1 year the mean crestal implant positions were for group 0= -0.04 mm, group 1= 0.34 mm and for group 2= 1.33 mm. It was found that the vertical change in buccal bone was smallest in group 0 followed by group 1 and group 2, respectively. The marginal bone height was minimally reduced in group 0 (~0.04 mm) and maintained in both group 1 and 2 (e.g. existing bone-to-implant contact at or above the platform). For the buccal/lingual sites, the bone was located at the platform in all groups, however, coronal to the platform in 0%, 40% and 75% in group 0, 1 and 2, respectively. Thin buccal wall thickness was correlated to more buccal bone remodeling in group 1 and 2.

Discussion and Conclusions
The buccal and lingual crestal positions of the implant, evaluated by CBCT, followed the same pattern as when evaluated by conventional radiographs. The position of the implant abutment interface in relation to the buccal bone crest is decisive for the amount of bone remodeling to occur.

Reference:
Immediate versus delayed functional loading of implants in the posterior mandible: a 2-year prospective clinical study of 12 consecutive cases

Authors: Romanos GE, Nentwig GH.

Aim
This randomized clinical study aimed to investigate the clinical performance of Ankylos implants subjected to either immediate or delayed loading when placed in the posterior mandible.

Material and Methods
Twelve patients being bilaterally edentulous in the posterior mandible were included in the investigation. In a randomized manner, one side received 3 Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) which were subjected to submerged healing for 3 months. Following 2-stage surgery Sulcus Formers (Dentsply Sirona Implants) were placed and replaced the following week by straight or angulated standard healing abutments after which loading with splinted, cemented, temporary crowns took place.

On the same day as the submerged healing group received their provisional restorations, 3 additional Ankylos implants were placed in the contralateral side applying 1-stage surgery and an immediate loading protocol using provisional, splinted crowns. Occlusal contact only occurred during maximal intercuspation. Following 2 weeks of loading the provisional restorations were removed to allow for impression taking with special Ankylos transfer caps (Dentsply Sirona Implants). Definite prostheses were later cemented 6 weeks from the time of immediate loading.

During the investigation period both panoramic radiographs and clinical recordings were made. Plaque index, sulcus bleeding index, probing pocket depth, width of the keratinized mucosa as well as mobility values were recorded at 3, 6, 9, 12, 18 and 24 months after loading.

Results
During the mean loading period of 25.3 months, no complications or postoperative infections were recorded. No statistical differences could be found between Ankylos implants subjected to either delayed or immediate loading with respect to any of the clinical recordings made, see Table 1.

Bone loss never exceeded 2 mm around any implant during the observation period and 29 implant sites showed no bone loss.

Discussion and Conclusion
The Ankylos Implant System has features with progressive thread design and a large total surface area for increased mechanical stability which allows for successful replacement of molar teeth with only one implant, as seen in the current study irrespective of loading protocol. Although there was no statistical significance between the two treatment groups in this study, with respect to clinical and radiological parameters used, does not mean that the conditions are the same for the two groups. The sample size was small in this investigation, thus studies of immediate loading in a larger patient population with longer follow-up periods are needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Parameter</th>
<th>Time for follow-up</th>
<th>24 months of loading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed loading</td>
<td>Immediate loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque Index</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulcus Bleeding Index</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probing Pocket Depth</td>
<td>1.8 mm</td>
<td>1.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of keratinized mucosa</td>
<td>3.7 mm</td>
<td>2.6 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Clinical parameters evaluated.
Prosthetics and patient satisfaction

Patient satisfaction and patient quality of life have become important factors when evaluating success of implant therapy today. In this section, we present results on patient satisfaction when using the Ankylos implant system. Moreover, clinical results when using different prosthetic solutions, such as the friction-locked connection with SynCone abutments, are presented here.
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Functional and esthetic considerations for single-tooth Ankylos implant-crowns: 8 years of clinical performance

Authors: Doring K, Eisenmann E, Stiller M.

Aim
The objective of this study was to evaluate the clinical outcome of Ankylos implants when restored with single crowns in anterior and posterior regions.

Material and Methods
275 Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) for single-tooth replacement were monitored for a period of up to 8 years.

The implants were placed slightly below the crestal bone level, to allow for an optimal emergence profile. During the healing period different sizes of sulcus formers were used to shape the peri-implant soft tissue and, if needed, they were replaced several times to form natural contours of the soft tissue.

Following the healing period and stabilization of the soft tissue the final abutments were selected based on the anatomic requirements for the final crown restoration. The Balance abutments (DENTSPLY Implants), of which 264 were made of titanium and 11 out of ceramics, were customized so that the final crown provided a natural, esthetic solution for each clinical case. The final restorations, either metal-ceramic or all-ceramic crowns, were formed on the customized abutments to maximize esthetic appearance and function.

The Balance abutments were connected using a customized transfer index, with a torque of 15 Ncm and the final crowns were all cemented using a glass ionomer cement.

Results
Only 5 implants were lost during the healing phase, rendering a survival rate of 98.2%. The mean observation period was 3.2 years with no further implant losses. There were no technical complications associated with the prosthetic components. The soft tissues were stable with a slight increase in papilla height over time. One year after loading, radiographs showed the crestal bone to be at or above the level of the implant shoulder in 50% of the cases. Patients rated the esthetic appearance and comfort of the single-implant restorations in a range from “good” to “excellent”.

Discussion and conclusion
Single-tooth replacement with Ankylos implants resulted in stable hard- and soft tissues, good esthetics and function. Technical and biological complications were not observed with the Ankylos implant system in the current study. Complications that have been described in the literature with other implant systems, i.e. screw loosening, screw breaking or crown breaking, seem to be absent when using Ankylos implants and it suggests a functional safety of the tapered connection between implant and abutment.
Immediate loading of dental implants in the edentulous maxilla

Authors: Eccellente T, Piombino M, Piattelli A, et al.

Aim
This study aimed to evaluate Ankylos implants placed in the edentulous maxilla and immediately loaded with removable prostheses supported by SynCone abutments.

Material and Methods
Patients recruited for the study all needed a total rehabilitation of the maxilla. Forty-five patients received four Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants), placed either in extraction sockets or in healed ridges. All implants were placed 1 mm subcrestally and autogenous bone graft was used when deemed necessary by the surgeon. SynCone abutments (Dentsply Sirona Implants) were connected to the implants and prefabricated copings were polymerized into the existing denture base directly in the mouth. Patients were instructed in oral and denture hygiene procedures and were recommended soft diet for the initial healing period. After a healing period of 6 months, 29 patients received a new denture, and 11 SynCone abutments needed to be replaced before connecting a new denture.

Panoramic radiographs were taken after 6 months and then yearly thereafter. Other parameters registered were; modified sulcus bleeding index, modified plaque index, patient satisfaction and any technical complications.

Results
In total 45 patients received 180 Ankylos implants. Two implants were removed during the first 3 months due to lack of osseointegration, one implant fractured after 6 months in function, and one additional implant was lost due to peri-implantitis at 19 months, resulting in an overall implant survival rate of 97.8% after an average observation period of 26.7 months (range 12 to 54 months). The prosthesis survival rate was 100% and only a few minor technical complications (such as abutment loosening and denture fracture) occurred.

During the study period the bone levels were stable with bone in contact, close or above the implant-abutment connection, in 48.8% of the implant sites.

All patients showed good soft tissue health, confirmed by low values of both sulcus bleeding index and modified plaque index. No swelling or suppuration was observed.

The majority of the patients were satisfied with the phonetics, function, esthetics, retention of the restoration and the oral hygiene (Figure 1).

During the study period the bone levels were stable with bone in contact, close or above the implant-abutment connection, in 48.8% of the implant sites.

All patients showed good soft tissue health, confirmed by low values of both sulcus bleeding index and modified plaque index. No swelling or suppuration was observed.

The majority of the patients were satisfied with the phonetics, function, esthetics, retention of the restoration and the oral hygiene (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Patient satisfaction after 12 months.](image)

Discussion and Conclusion
The treatment with an immediately loaded removable prostheses supported by SynCone abutments is a reliable solution for the edentulous maxilla. The treatment modality resulted in a stable denture with very few prosthetic complications, a high patient satisfaction and good oral hygiene.
AICRG, part IV: patient satisfaction reported for Ankylos implant prostheses


Aim
The purpose was to study the degree of patient satisfaction from patients having replaced their natural teeth with implant supported prostheses.

Material and Methods
The members of the AICRG (Ankylos Implant Clinical Research Group) consisting of more than 80 international clinicians (private and university settings), performed a patient survey after having rehabilitated the patients with implant supported prostheses (partial and complete restorations). This study included 478 patients who received 638 prostheses supported by more than 1500 Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) in both jaws. The Ankylos implant has a progressive thread design, a rough surface, a tapered abutment connection and a polished collar that does not have threads.

The patients had been followed for 3 to 5 years when the survey took place. There were 10 questions included in the survey.

Results
A total of 95.6% of the patients reported that their chewing ability was good or even excellent after the treatment and 92% of the patients actually had an improvements in their chewing ability compared to the old prosthesis. The overall clinical function comparing new vs. old prosthesis was improved in 92.6 %, unchanged in 6.2% and decreased in 0.9% of the patients. Changes in their speech to the negative, was seldom experienced, 0.9%. The reported comfort level when eating hot or cold food was high (96.3%) and most patients (98.8%) answered that there was no persistent pain or discomfort when using the prostheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall patient satisfaction</th>
<th>Yes (%)</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you like the new prosthesis</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you repeat the treatment again if necessary</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you recommend the treatment to a friend or relative</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the advantages of the treatment outweigh any disadvantages that you may have identified</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Percentage of patients responding yes or no to the questions related to the overall patient satisfaction of the implant supported prosthetic treatment.

Discussion and Conclusion
This study report patients’ satisfaction from 478 patients treated worldwide with Ankylos implant supported prostheses at private settings and university clinics. Follow-up time was 3 to 5 years. In general satisfaction was very high. The patients responded that they would not hesitate to have the Ankylos-prostheses treatment repeated, would recommend the treatment to friends and relatives and felt that the advantages of the treatment was much greater than any disadvantages.
Implant-supporting telescopic maxillary prostheses and immediate loading

Authors: Romanos GE, May S, May D.

Aim
In the present study the long-term clinical outcome is retrospectively evaluated for immediately loaded Ankylos implants when placed in the maxilla utilizing telescopic-retained removable prostheses.

Material and Methods
A total of 26 patients with complete or partial edentulism in the maxilla were included in the study and rehabilitated with 117 Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants). An immediate loading protocol was applied where implants were placed 1-3 mm subcrestally in both healed sites and extraction sockets. Conical pre-fabricated SynCone abutments (Dentsply Sirona Implants) were connected to all implants. Following flap closure, prefabricated copings were placed over the abutments and overdentures or partial dentures were relined chair-side and were to remain in place for 10 days.

Following 3 months in function, implant stability was evaluated. Peri-implant soft tissue health, prosthetic stability, complications, implant survival, implant success as well as bone level changes were evaluated over a minimum follow-up period of 2 years.

Results
During the mean study period of 54 months, 7 implants failed rendering a survival rate of 94.0%.

There were no signs of hyperplastic gingival overgrowths, instead patients were presented with healthy peri-implant soft tissues.

Only 10 implants showed a mean bone reduction more than 2 mm and generally the crestal bone levels were stable during the follow-up period. Frequency analysis over crestal bone reduction per implant is shown in Table 1.

Patients were satisfied with their prostheses and there were no complications reported such as fractures or insufficient stability of the prosthesis.

Discussion and Conclusion
Immediately loaded Ankylos implants in conjunction with telescopic implant-retained prosthesis in the maxilla poses as a viable treatment option for the edentulous maxilla with predictable long-term results and improved patient comfort and clinical outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crestal bone reduction (mm)</th>
<th>Number of implants</th>
<th>% of implants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Frequency analysis of peri-implant bone reduction at last follow-up.
Long-term clinical results

The Ankylos implant system has been in clinical use for more than 25 years. The long-term clinical documentation (i.e. $\geq$ 5 years) is one of the most important tools when showing evidence for that the Ankylos implant system is efficient, reliable and safe. In this section, excellent long-term results with $\leq$ 20 years of clinical follow-up are presented.
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Double crown-retained maxillary overdentures: 5-year follow-up

Authors: Frisch E, Ziebolz D, Ratka-Kruger P., et al.

Aim
The purpose of this study was to evaluate the survival rate of maxillary overdentures supported by 4 implants and retained by double crowns.

Material and Methods
In a retrospective manner, 20 patients that were attending the maintenance program after being rehabilitated with 4 Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) were included. The implants were placed in the maxilla and retaining an overdenture using the Marbourg double crown (MDC) technique. The patients were treated in a private clinic between 1993 and 2011 and had all been followed for a minimum of 1 year. After submerged healing (3-4 months) prosthetic restoration followed. Primary telescopes were screw-retained to the implants. Secondary crowns were made within the denture, with a clearance fit and additional retention elements (TK Snap, Si-Tec GmbH, Herdecke, Germany). The overdentures were horseshoe-shaped, palatal-free, without transversal connectors.

After delivery of the final overdentures, radiographs, clinical assessments and maintenance program followed.

Results
Twenty patients with 80 implants were studied. The average observational period was 5.6 years (range from 1 to 15 years) and the average age of the patient at implant placement was 63 years. One implant was lost, resulting in an implant survival rate of 98.75%. The overdenture housing the lost implant remained in function, rendering a prosthesis survival of 100%. Eight out of 20 patients had no technical complications recorded and these patients were followed for in mean 6.5 years. Among the patients with technical interventions, the most common issues were telescopic crown screw loosening and fracture of denture teeth, see Table 1.

Peri-implant mucositis, defined as BoP, was evident at 38 implants (47.5%) in 15 patients (75%). Eight implants in 2 patients were classified as affected by peri-implantitis at the last visit (applying a definition of mean bone loss ≥3.5 mm, probing depth > 5 mm and BoP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of technical issue</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Intervention/patient/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screw loosening (telescopic crown)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment renewal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose abutment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture of denture teeth or base, veneer repair and other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall occurrence</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. List of technical complications reported during the 5-year follow-up.

Discussion and Conclusion
This practice-based study followed 20 patients treated with double crown retained overdentures for in mean 5.6 years. The prosthesis survival rate is comparable to other retentive solutions followed for long time, although the number of patients was limited in this study.
Long-term evaluation of Ankylos dental implants, Part I: 20 year life table analysis of a longitudinal study of more than 12,500 implants

Author: Krebs M, Schmenger K, Neumann K, et al.

Aim
The objective of this study was to evaluate the long-term clinical outcome of Ankylos implants.

Material and Methods
Between 1991 and 2011, 36 oral surgeons treated 4206 patients with 12737 Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) for a variety of clinical indications (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Number of implants (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edentulous jaw</td>
<td>3042 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single tooth gap</td>
<td>2170 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially edentulous patients</td>
<td>7394 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>131 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Different indication groups.

Sixty percent of the implants were placed in patients aged between 51-70 years, 56% of the patients were women and 53% of the implants were placed in the maxilla. Range of implant length and diameter was 8-14 mm and 3.5-5.5 mm respectively. Patients were rehabilitated with 1 up to 19 implants.

Most implants (63%) were placed in normal bone quality, only 11% were placed in dense bone and 26% were placed in soft bone. To increase the primary stability of the implants placed in soft bone, a bone condensing procedure using bone spreaders was performed. The majority of implants (60%) was placed in conjunction with bone grafting or bone expanding procedures.

Clinical and radiographic evaluations were conducted at annual follow-ups.

Results
On average the implants were followed for 60.7 months, with the longest follow-up being 240 months. In total 319 implant failures were recorded during the observational period, resulting in an overall implant survival rate of 97.5%. Most failures occurred within the first year from implant placement and before prosthetic loading. The main reasons for implant failures were failed osseointegration or peri-implant infection.

Following 17 years in function, a low rate of peri-implant bone loss was observed for those 125 implants that were analyzed. Less than 1 mm vertical bone loss was observed for 85.7% of the implants and soft tissue levels were maintained around 64% of the implants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>CSR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 years</td>
<td>93.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*of the 12,737 implants included at the start of the study 125 implants were analyzed at the 17 year follow-up visit.

Table 2. Cumulative implant survival rate (CSR).

Discussion and Conclusion
In conclusion, this study indicates good long-term clinical outcome with Ankylos implants with respect to implant survival and peri-implant bone levels for various clinical indications.
Treatment concept of the edentulous mandible with prefabricated telescopic abutments and immediate functional loading

Authors: Romanos GE, May S, May D.

Aim
This retrospective study aimed to evaluate long-term clinical results of Ankylos implants when connected to prefabricated telescopic abutments and overdentures immediately after surgery.

Material and Methods
Included patients all had received Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) in the anterior mandible. For inclusion, the implants had to be restored with prefabricated telescopic abutments (angle: 4° to 6°) and immediately loaded with an overdenture. All implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) were placed in a subcrestal position, 2 mm below the midfacial crest and due to the implants’ Morse taper connection, platform switch was applied. To eliminate micromovements and secure immobilization of the implants, they were splinted together with the relined prosthesis, which the patient was not allowed to remove during the first 10 days following surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implant Size Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ø 5.5 x 17 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 5.5 x 14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 5.5 x 11 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 4.5 x 17 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 4.5 x 14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 4.5 x 11 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 4.5 x 9.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 3.5 x 14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 3.5 x 11 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø 3.5 x 9.5 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Implant size distribution.

Following 1 year in function the implants were evaluated both radiographically and clinically. In addition, parameters such as: soft tissue health, prosthetic stability and/or complications, bone level remodeling, implant survival, implant success (applying criteria by Albrektsson et al.) as well as any other adverse events were registered during the investigational period.

Results
In total, 122 patients with 488 Ankylos implants were retrospectively included in the study. The overall mean follow-up time was 79 months, ranging from 17 to 129 months.

There were 8 implants that failed to osseointegrate, rendering a cumulative survival rate of 98.4%. Applying the stricter success criteria by Albrektsson et al. where amount of bone loss is also considered, 94% of the implants were classed as successful. No prosthetic complications were reported. The peri-implant soft tissues were deemed to be in excellent condition and the peri-implant hard tissue showed stability with little remodeling in the majority of cases during the entire observational period where bone loss greater than 2 mm were seen only around 21 implants (4.3%).

Discussion
Immediately loaded implant telescopic-abutment supported overdenture was shown to be a viable treatment concept for the edentulous patient. Long-term implant stability (mean follow-up of 79 months) could be shown and with the concept of a fixed detachable restoration, oral hygiene procedures, such as plaque control, were made easier which are especially advantages for the elderly patient population.
Long-term results after subcrestal or crestal placement of delayed loaded implants

Authors: Romanos GE, Aydin E, Gaertner K, et al.

Aim
This retrospective clinical study aimed to evaluate how marginal bone maintenance is affected when Ankylos implants are placed at different depths in relation to the crestal bone.

Material and Methods
Patient selection for this retrospective study was that patients needed to have been rehabilitated with Ankylos implants (Dentsply Sirona Implants) and restored with a fixed or removable prosthesis applying a delayed loading protocol. Mesial and distal aspects of the implant shoulder were divided into 2 groups, a subcrestal group: implant shoulders (mesial, distal or both) placed ≥ 0.5 mm subcrestal and a crestal group: implant shoulders placed within 0.5 mm or less from the crestal bone level. Implant shoulders placed more than 0.5 mm above the crestal bone level were excluded from the analysis.

Periotests were performed immediately after implant installation as a measure of initial implant stability. Bone level changes were analyzed from periapical radiographs.

Results
A total of 85 patients rehabilitated with 228 delayed loaded Ankylos implants were included in the study. Mesial and distal aspects of the implant shoulders were divided into the subcrestal group, n= 197 and the crestal group, n= 65 for further analyses. The remaining 194 sites were excluded from the study since the mesial or distal side of the implant shoulder was placed more than 0.5 mm above the crestal bone level.

Periotests following implant placement measured -1.77±3.57 and -1.77±3.26 for the subcrestal and crestal group respectively with no statistical significant difference. Mean follow-up time for the subcrestal group was 106 months and for crestal group 94 months. Total cumulative survival and success rates for all included implants were 97.8% and 94.7% respectively. Reason for the 5 implant failures were lack of osseointegration (n=1) and peri-implantitis (n=4).

For implants placed subcressally a mean bone reduction of 1.79 mm was recorded at the last follow-up whereas implants placed in a crestal position measured a mean bone reduction of 1.38 mm. However, no statistical significant difference was shown. Mean bone level reductions at mesial and distal sites are specified in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone level reduction (mm)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mesial site</th>
<th>Distal site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subcrestal placement</td>
<td>1.84±1.49</td>
<td>1.73±1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crestal placement</td>
<td>1.41±1.65</td>
<td>1.34±1.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Mean bone level reduction at mesial and distal sites for subcrestal and crestal placement.

Discussion and Conclusion
This retrospective study showed that irrespective of subcrestal or crestal implant placement, Ankylos implants, when subjected to delayed loading, showed similar initial implant stability and bone remodeling with up to 8 years of follow-up.
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Checklist for critical reading of clinical documentation and scientific articles

Reading scientific articles and clinical documentation is essentially about being able to judge how reliable the results are and what they mean for you in your clinical work. In order for a scientific article to be deemed credible, certain data must be present. Here is a list of important and necessary information to look for:

- **Purpose of the study**
  Why was the study performed? The purpose should be compared with the conclusion.

- **Type of study**
  Is it a prospective or retrospective study? Generally, prospective studies are better, since the criteria are set before the patients are treated.

- **Number of clinics involved**
  How many clinics are involved? More than one clinic should be involved in the study, in order to judge the possibility of repeated results.

- **Number of patients**
  How many patients are included in the study?

- **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**
  What are the criteria for a patient to be included in or excluded from the study?

- **Number of implants for upper and lower jaws respectively**
  The number of implants should always be listed separately for upper and lower jaws, including failure statistics, as the treatment prognosis is different in each jaw. An additional advantage is if you can see the difference between anterior and posterior treatment.

- **Follow-up**
  How many implants have been followed for how long? When did the follow-up start; at installation or at loading?

- **Indications**
  Which indications are covered in the study; single, partial or full bridge? If it is a full bridge, is it fixed prosthesis or overdenture?

- **Loading**
  When were the implants loaded (immediate, early or conventional loading)?

- **Implants lost**
  A study should include both the number of implants and number of patients not accounted for during the entire follow-up period. It should also include the reasons for drop-outs.

- **Success criteria**
  What is a successful result according to the authors? It is important that the success criteria are clearly described.

- **Other important parameters**
  How were the results verified? Was x-ray used when determining bone levels? How were bone levels measured? Was the bridge removed to control implant stability?

- **Statistical analysis of success and failure rates**
  A study should include statistical facts and figures to reveal how many implants were actually followed up and for how long. It should also include a “worst-case” analysis, meaning a calculated failure rate assuming that all drop-outs were lost implants.

- **Complications**
  If there are complications or drop-outs, they should be clearly described.

- **Conclusion**
  The conclusion should be compared with the purpose of the study. Was it fulfilled? What does the study actually tell you? How does the result affect your daily clinical work?
About Dentsply Sirona Implants

Dentsply Sirona Implants offers comprehensive solutions for all phases of implant therapy, including Ankylos®, Astra Tech Implant System® and Xive® implant lines, digital technologies, such as Atlantis® patient-specific solutions and Simplant® guided surgery, Symbios® regenerative solutions, and professional and business development programs, such as STEPPS™. Dentsply Sirona Implants creates value for dental professionals and allows for predictable and lasting implant treatment outcomes, resulting in enhanced quality of life for patients.
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